

**PATENT**



Case Docket No. ASMJP.104DV1  
Date: April 29, 2005

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant(s) : Shimizu et al.  
Appl. No. : 10/706,624  
Filed : November 12, 2003  
For : METHOD FOR  
SEMICONDUCTOR WAFER  
ETCHING  
Group Art Unit : 3742  
Class/Sub-Class : 219-121430  
Examiner : Mark H. Paschall

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

April 29, 2005

(Date)

  
Kyle F. Schlueter, Reg. No. 54,912


**TRANSMITTAL LETTER**

**MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE**  
**Commissioner for Patents**  
**P.O. Box 1450**  
**Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

Dear Sir:

Enclosed for filing is the Issue Fee for the above-identified application:

- (X) Form PTOL-85.
- (X) A check in the amount of \$1,730 to cover the issue fee, publication fee, and advanced order of copies is enclosed.
- (X) Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance in 1 page.
- (X) The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Account No. 11-1410.
- (X) Return prepaid postcard.

  
Kyle F. Schlueter, Attorney of Record  
Registration No. 54,912  
Customer No. 20,995  
(310) 551-3450



SMJP.104DV1

PATENT

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Application Number: 10/706,624 Confirmation Number: 7986

Applicant: Shimizu et al.

Filing Date: 12 November 2003

Art Unit: 3742

Examiner: Mark H. Paschall

Customer Number: 20,995

Commissioner for Patents  
Post Office Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

In the Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter ("Statement"), the Examiner has listed certain elements which are neither taught nor suggested by the prior art of record. Applicants note that certain of the allowable claims do not contain at least some of the elements listed by the Examiner. Applicants therefore respectfully disagree with the Examiner's Statement to the extent it implies that certain unrecited elements are required by certain claims. The Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance is the personal opinion of the Examiner as to why the claims are allowable, and should not create an estoppel with respect to future claim construction. See MPEP 1302.14.

Respectfully submitted,  
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP

Dated: 29 APR 05

By: Kyle F. Schlueter  
Kyle F. Schlueter, Attorney of Record  
Registration No. 54,912  
Customer No. 20,995  
(310) 551-3450

1681439 / 042505